

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,577	08/19/2003	Tetsuya Yoshida	Q76401	2953
23373	7590 09/06/2005	•	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE, N	.w.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		. 1711	 -
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	VI)
	10/642,577	YOSHIDA, TETSUYA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ana L. Woodward	1711	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on Any reply received by the Examiner Example of Claims 4) Claim(s) I-2/ is/are pending in the application and Office and Status Is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) I-2/ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) I-2/ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) Is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) Is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) Is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) Is/are objected to by the Examiner In International Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner In International Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on Is/are: a) acceed Applicant may not request that any objection to the dependent of the Paper International Paper Internationa	Fig. 1. In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND date of this communication, even if timely action is non-final. The except for formal matters, as parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 The from consideration. The prediction requirement. The prediction is polycome and matters, as parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 The prediction requirement. The prediction requirement is required if the drawing(s) is aminer. Note the attached Official in the prediction of the p	the correspondence address APPL MONTH(S) FROM Doe timely filed Adays will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Filed, may reduce any Prosecution as to the merits is A 453 O.G. 213. The Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Find Action or form PTO-152. P(a)-(d) or (f).	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eived.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413)	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 5,648,433 (Scott) or U.S. 6,114,472 (Matsuki et al) each in view of EP 1199333 and further in view of U.S. 5,747,560 (Christiani et al) as per reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 17, 2005 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Scott and Matsuki et al each disclose blends comprising polyetherimides and polyesters Each reference discloses that (inorganic) fillers, e.g., clay, and other additives can be further incorporated into their transparent blends (Scott at column 7, lines 9-12 and Matsuki et al at column 9, lines 47-57). The references differ in essence from the present claims in not expressly disclosing a swellable lamellar silicate as the inorganic filler. Swellable layered silicates, such as intercalated clays, are well known fillers for polymer compositions comprising, for example, polyesters, such as poly(ethylene 1,5-naphthalate), and polyetherimides, and blends of said polymers (Christiani et al column 17, lines 25, 35 and 66). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use clay in the form of a swellable-layered

Art Unit: 1711

material as the inorganic filler material in the compositions of Scott and Matsuki et al because of its known use and compatibility with said polyetherimides and polyesters, as per Christiani et al. It is maintained that said swellable clay materials are generically encompassed by Scott and Matsuki et al's general disclosures of fillers and clays. Accordingly, absent evidence of unusual or unexpected results, no patentability can be seen in the presently claimed invention.

Conclusion.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,577 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana L. Woodward
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711

AW